

Global Investment Committee | June 01, 2026

The Paradox of a Placid Market Amid Semi-Euphoria

Despite war in the Middle East, elevated energy prices, inflation fears and a more hawkish Federal Reserve, the S&P 500 Index's 11.0% year-to-date total return appears unremarkable given solid US economic growth and corporate earnings upside. Beneath the surface, however, recent narrow market leadership is more glaring. Indeed, approximately 80% of S&P 500 performance attribution is derived from just three industries, which happen to also be among the most volatile historically: semiconductors, IT hardware and AI power.


These industries have benefited from improving earnings revisions and pricing power given their direct leverage to "first order" data center capex trends, upside guidance from Magnificent Seven (Mag 7) spending and accelerating subscription-revenue run rates from large-language-model (LLM) providers. Seemingly insatiable demand and supply bottlenecks have led to long-term agreements and robust order books, and in turn to a call for secular growth in these traditionally cyclical industries.

Daniel Skelly

Senior Investment Strategist
Daniel.Skelly@morganstanley.com

Lisa Shalett

Chief Investment Officer
Head of the Global Investment Office
Morgan Stanley Wealth Management
Lisa.Shalett@morganstanley.com



THE PARADOX OF A PLACID MARKET AMID SEMI-EUPHORIA

To put the upside surprise in the rate of spending in context, a year ago, sell-side estimates reflected expectations for 2026 hyperscaler capex of \$350 billion. Today, it's tracking closer to \$750 billion, and Morgan Stanley & Co. Research analysts forecast more than \$1 trillion in 2027. As a result, consensus earnings forecasts for companies levered to AI infrastructure (represented by the MS & Co. IED AI Tech Beneficiaries basket) have been revised higher by an average of 80% for the year to date. However, we are keen on when the focus will swing back to the second derivative rate of change and sustainability into 2028 and beyond.

Stepping back, we credit our colleagues in MS & Co. Research who, like a good linebacker seeing around corners, foresaw three of the key inflections in the semiconductor supply chain bottleneck in the past three years—starting with graphics processing units (GPUs) in 2023–2024, then memory chips in 2025–2026 and central processing units (CPUs) in 2026. Furthermore, Stephen Byrd, MS & Co.'s global head of thematic research, led the charge on AI power two years ago, highlighting major shortfalls in electricity supply to satisfy data center compute that could only be achieved via unconventional means (i.e., not grid connection). This has unlocked strong gains in companies providing gas turbines, fuel cells and nuclear power.

We too saw opportunities to add semiconductors, hardware and power across our strategies over the past 12-plus months. However, from a process and risk management approach, we run more diversified and quality-oriented strategies, limiting our inclination to go “all-in” on just one theme or sector because it exhibits extreme momentum, especially when it is as volatile as semiconductors and IT hardware.

Identifying Excess

At times, we feel compelled to publish our views when our macro insight and portfolio convictions/positioning intersect in a way that doesn't align with prevailing market sentiment, especially during periods of extremes. Most recently in early-February, we articulated a defense of the software space. Our key conclusion was that while the market was pricing broad obsolescence for many software business models (and data-centric businesses in general), the more probable outcome was more nuanced, with dispersion likely across a spectrum of insulated vs. disrupted. Albeit with only a few months to look back, that premise has essentially played out, with the broad software index (IGV) up 12% since we published the report, with notable dispersion within the sector with constituent performance ranging from +100% to -25% (since 2/9/26).

Currently, given the extremely narrow nature of the AI spending beneficiaries, there are three key risks to the rate of change of AI spend that we are monitoring. We acknowledge that this could be early, but it is very difficult to pinpoint when the market will care about this rate-of-change deceleration:

- 1. Improving equilibrium:** For more than two years, “demand constraints” has been an accurate portrayal of how massive compute orders have led to upward earnings revisions. Today, considering real time updates from companies in the supply chain, we are starting to think “deployment constraints” may more accurately depict reality. As data center construction pipelines grow, there is a natural rush to order all the compute, materials, industrial components and eventual power to support them. But data centers aren't being built overnight, and we suspect that semiconductor chips are sitting idle waiting to be deployed. At a certain point, we believe that ordering may slow as data centers become operational and chips are deployed (unlocking compute supply). While absolute levels of data center-related spending will stay high for several years, our focus remains on the rate of change, as stocks tend to discount future earnings.
- 2. Domestic and/or global supply easing:** One of the key attributes of today's technological and geopolitical realignment is the phenomenon of “resource nationalism.” Our Morgan Stanley Wealth Management Global Investment Office colleague Sarah Wolfe has published extensively on the topic (see her April 15 *AlphaCurrents Macro* report, “[Resource Nationalism: When Security Replaces Efficiency](#)”). The US has flexed its muscles in leading-edge semiconductors, while China has leveraged its rare earth minerals lead. Additionally, per the recent summit between President Trump and President Xi, China has reiterated its intentions to regain control of Taiwan. As we think about these crosscurrents, one potential scenario is for the US to either lean in harder to investment in domestic supply, as it has done in Arizona, or potentially to use access to high-end chip supply as leverage to try to head off a Taiwan conflict.
- 3. The nature of tech itself:** While admittedly not a “tech wizard,” as I reach for a phone vs a toolkit when the TV breaks, our observation is that the nature of technology changes rapidly. Much like the debate spurred by DeepSeek in early 2025, our key consideration today is the following: Will AI training, inference and agent utilization a year from now be as compute-and-power-intensive as it has been in recent years? Our guess is no; as bottlenecks are cleared, it could lead to a digestion period when hyperscalers slow capex growth to focus on free cash flow and matching compute supply with demand.

THE PARADOX OF A PLACID MARKET AMID SEMI-EUPHORIA

Beyond these fundamental factors, we share three more concerns from a positioning, technical and sentiment standpoint.

1. **Crowded hedge fund positioning:** According to MS Prime Brokerage (PB) Content data, the ratio of long positions to short positions across semiconductors, IT hardware and AI power remains near the most bullish level of the past decade, leaving limited room for incremental buying. Conversely, net positioning in equities excluding these sectors is at a 10-year low. Counterintuitively, this can be read as bullish for the “market.” While the AI “first order” trade is certainly crowded, overall equity exposure seems more muted.
2. **Momentum mania:** With these three groups (semiconductors, IT hardware and AI power) making up the majority of momentum baskets, the risk of rapid reversals is increased. Hedge fund exposure to long-momentum factors is near a five-year peak, only surpassed by the 2021 tech bull market, which was followed by a comprehensive bear market in 2022. Looking closer at the Morgan Stanley IED factor baskets for the year to date, one can see the significant spread between momentum/high risk factors (realized volatility and beta) and all of the other factors that contribute to our investment process—namely quality, valuation, and growth (year-to-date momentum, beta and realized volatility are up 29%, on average, versus a decline of 14%, on average, for quality, yield and growth). While this factor concentration can continue in the short term, any fundamental weakness could be compounded by an unwind of technical factors during a drawdown.
3. **Populist angst:** From a sentiment perspective, we observe that anti-AI views are bubbling up more from a populist base, per the May 19 Wall Street Journal article, “The American Rebellion Against AI Is Gaining Steam.” Indeed, with elevated gas prices at the pump, a lack of affordable housing (made worse again with the recent move up in rates) and now perceived labor risks and inflation risks around AI spending itself (via power constraints), we imagine that this rhetoric will ramp up in the coming months ahead of the midterm elections, thereby acting as an additional headwind to AI spending sentiment at large.

From First to Second Gear, and the Return of the Mag 7

To be clear, we are not calling AI a bubble. We remain positive on productivity, earnings and profound positive upside likely stemming from AI over the next three, five and 10 years, as we have stated in the past (please reach out to your Financial Advisor for our prior quarterly newsletters). Instead, we feel obliged to remind investors of the cyclical nature of capex

cycles, the risk of rapid rotations among perceived leaders and laggards and last, the overarching necessity of remaining diversified, quality-driven and long-term oriented in equity portfolios amid this backdrop. In that light, we continue to see “second order” AI adopters, such as financials, health care, “Rag 6” consumer platforms, and yes, select software as key long-term beneficiaries.

1. **Financials:** Banks should benefit from lower operating expenses and better underwriting due to AI adoption, while “data” businesses like exchanges, ratings agencies and brokerages appear defensible and oversold.
2. **Health care:** To date, the “hype” around AI driving drug discovery and better patient outcomes has far outpaced the stock performance. However, medtech, life sciences/diagnostics, managed care and select biopharma should be able to accelerate time to market for new drugs, improve success probability of trials and execute on value-based pricing; these options do not seem contemplated in forward earnings estimates, which have lagged the market.
3. **“Rag 6” consumer platforms:** We have previously coined the term “Rag 6” to describe scaled consumer retail platforms that operate omnichannel, retail and online, commerce. These “Rag 6” platforms will continue to press their scale advantage to deliver better value to customers. This in turn creates a flywheel that enables more data/AI investment and a wider moat. We are bullish on these companies’ ability to bring AI into the “physical world” via robotics that can dramatically improve distribution centers, fulfillment and inventory management.
4. **Software:** Not all software stocks are created equal, and AI appears to be the disruptive technology that will separate the companies that truly have moats from those that have ridden the wave of SAAS adoption. Please see our April 16 Equity MAPS Quarterly Letter for more details.

Last, we were well served making the Mag 7 an overweight three years ago, as we expected a monolithic reaction to the fervor around the launch of ChatGPT. We then called for the monolith to transition to a peloton over 18 months ago and had favorable selection as the group experienced more dispersion, with our outsized program overweight to Google (at the time with a valuation of 15 times forward earnings) a key driver in 2025. We think 2027–2028 could see the return of the Mag 7 monolith phenomenon, as 1) the group continues to lead with AI monetization; 2) capital spending slows, which lifts the overhang of waning free cash flow; and 3) new AI-enabled products and opportunities emerge, with many of the group’s growth segments (space, robotics, quantum and autonomous) key beneficiaries.

Conclusion

With the perspective of two decades investing, including navigating prudently through several extreme periods such as COVID, the subsequent economic reopening, the 2022 rates-cycle bear market and the varying iterations of the AI upcycle that kicked off in 2023, we believe the key consideration

today is the following: Given their growing market concentration and outsized impact, do we share the same conviction across today's "first-order" AI spending leaders—including the volatile semiconductor, IT hardware, data center component and AI power companies—that we did in the Mag 7 in 2023, as that inherently higher-quality, more diversified group entered a multiyear-outperformance phase? The answer is no.

THE PARADOX OF A PLACID MARKET AMID SEMI-EUPHORIA

Disclosure Section

For index, indicator and survey definitions referenced in this report please visit the following: <https://www.morganstanley.com/wealth-investmentsolutions/wmir-definitions>

Glossary

Artificial Intelligence (AI) A field of study that seeks to train computers to process large amounts of unstructured information in a manner similar to human intelligence, capable of performing tasks such as learning and problem solving.

Beta is a measure of the volatility, or systematic risk, of a security or a portfolio in comparison to the market as a whole.

Drawdown refers to the largest cumulative percentage decline in net asset value or the percentage decline from the highest value or net asset value (peak) to the lowest value net asset value (trough) after the peak.

Volatility This is a statistical measure of the dispersion of returns for a given security or market index. Volatility can either be measured by using the standard deviation or variance between returns from that same security or market index. Commonly, the higher the volatility, the riskier the security.

Risk Considerations

Equity securities may fluctuate in response to news on companies, industries, market conditions and general economic environment.

Yields are subject to change with economic conditions. Yield is only one factor that should be considered when making an investment decision.

Investing in foreign markets entails greater risks than those normally associated with domestic markets, such as political, currency, economic and market risks. **Investing in currency** involves additional special risks such as credit, interest rate fluctuations, derivative investment risk, and domestic and foreign inflation rates, which can be volatile and may be less liquid than other securities and more sensitive to the effect of varied economic conditions. In addition, international investing entails greater risk, as well as greater potential rewards compared to U.S. investing. These risks include political and economic uncertainties of foreign countries as well as the risk of currency fluctuations. These risks are magnified in countries with **emerging markets and frontier markets**, since these countries may have relatively unstable governments and less established markets and economies. Yields are subject to change with economic conditions. Yield is only one factor that should be considered when making an investment decision.

Investing in commodities entails significant risks. Commodity prices may be affected by a variety of factors at any time, including but not limited to, (i) changes in supply and demand relationships, (ii) governmental programs and policies, (iii) national and international political and economic events, war and terrorist events, (iv) changes in interest and exchange rates, (v) trading activities in commodities and related contracts, (vi) pestilence, technological change and weather, and (vii) the price volatility of a commodity. In addition, the commodities markets are subject to temporary distortions or other disruptions due to various factors, including lack of liquidity, participation of speculators and government intervention.

Hedge funds may involve a high degree of risk, often engage in leveraging and other speculative investment practices that may increase the risk of investment loss, can be highly illiquid, are not required to provide periodic pricing or valuation information to investors, may involve complex tax structures and delays in distributing important tax information, are not subject to the same regulatory requirements as mutual funds, often charge high fees which may offset any trading profits, and in many cases the underlying investments are not transparent and are known only to the investment manager.

Because of their narrow focus, **sector investments** tend to be more volatile than investments that diversify across many sectors and companies. **Technology stocks** may be especially volatile. Risks applicable to companies in the **energy and natural resources sectors** include commodity pricing risk, supply and demand risk, depletion risk and exploration risk. **Health care sector stocks** are subject to government regulation, as well as government approval of products and services, which can significantly impact price and availability, and which can also be significantly affected by rapid obsolescence and patent expirations.

REITs investing risks are similar to those associated with direct investments in real estate: property value fluctuations, lack of liquidity, limited diversification and sensitivity to economic factors such as interest rate changes and market recessions.

Artificial intelligence (AI) is subject to limitations, and you should be aware that any output from an AI-supported tool or service made available by the Firm for your use is subject to such limitations, including but not limited to inaccuracy, incompleteness, or embedded bias. You should always verify the results of any AI-generated output.

Growth investing does not guarantee a profit or eliminate risk. The stocks of these companies can have relatively high valuations. Because of these high valuations, an investment in a growth stock can be more risky than an investment in a company with more modest growth expectations.

Value investing does not guarantee a profit or eliminate risk. Not all companies whose stocks are considered to be value stocks are able to turn their business around or successfully employ corrective strategies which would result in stock prices that do not rise as initially expected.

Environmental, Social and Governance ("ESG") investments in a portfolio may experience performance that is lower or higher than a portfolio not employing such practices. Portfolios with ESG restrictions and strategies as well as ESG investments may not be able to take advantage of the same opportunities or market trends as portfolios where ESG criteria is not applied. There are inconsistent ESG definitions and criteria within the industry, as well as multiple ESG ratings providers that provide ESG ratings of the same subject companies and/or securities that vary among the providers. Certain issuers of investments may have differing and inconsistent views concerning ESG criteria where the ESG claims made in offering documents or other literature may overstate ESG impact. ESG designations are as of the date of this material, and no

THE PARADOX OF A PLACID MARKET AMID SEMI-EUPHORIA

assurance is provided that the underlying assets have maintained or will maintain and such designation or any stated ESG compliance. As a result, it is difficult to compare ESG investment products or to evaluate an ESG investment product in comparison to one that does not focus on ESG. Investors should also independently consider whether the ESG investment product meets their own ESG objectives or criteria. There is no assurance that an ESG investing strategy or techniques employed will be successful. Past performance is not a guarantee or a dependable measure of future results.

The **indices** are unmanaged. An investor cannot invest directly in an index. They are shown for illustrative purposes only and do not represent the performance of any specific investment.

The **indices selected by Morgan Stanley Wealth Management** to measure performance are representative of broad asset classes. Morgan Stanley Wealth Management retains the right to change representative indices at any time.

Performance of indices may be more or less volatile than any investment product. The risk of loss in value of a specific investment (such as with an investment manager or in a fund) is not the same as the risk of loss in a broad market index. Therefore, the historical returns of an index will not be the same as the historical returns of a particular investment product.

Hyperlinks

This material may provide the addresses of, or contain hyperlinks to, websites. Except to the extent to which the material refers to website material of Morgan Stanley Wealth Management, the firm has not reviewed the linked site. Equally, except to the extent to which the material refers to website material of Morgan Stanley Wealth Management, the firm takes no responsibility for, and makes no representations or warranties whatsoever as to, the data and information contained therein. Such address or hyperlink (including addresses or hyperlinks to website material of Morgan Stanley Wealth Management) is provided solely for your convenience and information and the content of the linked site does not in any way form part of this document. Accessing such website or following such link through the material or the website of the firm shall be at your own risk and we shall have no liability arising out of, or in connection with, any such referenced website.

By providing links to third-party websites or online publication(s) or article(s), Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("Morgan Stanley") is not implying an affiliation, sponsorship, endorsement, approval, investigation, verification with the third parties or that any monitoring is being done by Morgan Stanley of any information contained within the articles or websites. Morgan Stanley is not responsible for the information contained on the third-party websites or your use of or inability to use such site. Nor do we guarantee their accuracy and completeness. The terms, conditions, and privacy policy of any third-party website may be different from those applicable to your use of any Morgan Stanley website. The information and data provided by the third-party websites or publications are as of the date when they were written and subject to change without notice.

Disclosures

Morgan Stanley Wealth Management is the trade name of Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC, a registered broker-dealer in the United States. This material has been prepared for informational purposes only and is not an offer to buy or sell or a solicitation of any offer to buy or sell any security or other financial instrument or to participate in any trading strategy. Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance. The author(s) (if any authors are noted) principally responsible for the preparation of this material receive compensation based upon various factors, including quality and accuracy of their work, firm revenues (including trading and capital markets revenues), client feedback and competitive factors. Morgan Stanley Wealth Management is involved in many businesses that may relate to companies, securities or instruments mentioned in this material.

This material has been prepared for informational purposes only and is not an offer to buy or sell or a solicitation of any offer to buy or sell any security/instrument, or to participate in any trading strategy. Any such offer would be made only after a prospective investor had completed its own independent investigation of the securities, instruments or transactions, and received all information it required to make its own investment decision, including, where applicable, a review of any offering circular or memorandum describing such security or instrument. That information would contain material information not contained herein and to which prospective participants are referred. This material is based on public information as of the specified date, and may be stale thereafter. We have no obligation to tell you when information herein may change. We make no representation or warranty with respect to the accuracy or completeness of this material. Morgan Stanley Wealth Management has no obligation to provide updated information on the securities/instruments mentioned herein.

The securities/instruments discussed in this material may not be appropriate for all investors. The appropriateness of a particular investment or strategy will depend on an investor's individual circumstances and objectives. Morgan Stanley Wealth Management recommends that investors independently evaluate specific investments and strategies, and encourages investors to seek the advice of a financial advisor. The value of and income from investments may vary because of changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, default rates, prepayment rates, securities/instruments prices, market indexes, operational or financial conditions of companies and other issuers or other factors. Estimates of future performance are based on assumptions that may not be realized. Actual events may differ from those assumed and changes to any assumptions may have a material impact on any projections or estimates. Other events not taken into account may occur and may significantly affect the projections or estimates. Certain assumptions may have been made for modeling purposes only to simplify the presentation and/or calculation of any projections or estimates, and Morgan Stanley Wealth Management does not represent that any such assumptions will reflect actual future events. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that estimated returns or projections will be realized or that actual returns or performance results will not materially differ from those estimated herein.

The summary at the beginning of the report may have been generated with the assistance of artificial intelligence (AI).

This material should not be viewed as advice or recommendations with respect to asset allocation or any particular investment. This information is not intended to, and should not, form a primary basis for any investment decisions that you may make. Morgan Stanley Wealth Management is not acting as a fiduciary under either the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended or under section 4975 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 as amended in providing this material except as otherwise provided in writing by Morgan Stanley and/or as described at www.morganstanley.com/disclosures/dol.

THE PARADOX OF A PLACID MARKET AMID SEMI-EUPHORIA

Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC, its affiliates and Morgan Stanley Financial Advisors do not provide legal or tax advice. Each client should always consult his/her personal tax and/or legal advisor for information concerning his/her individual situation and to learn about any potential tax or other implications that may result from acting on a particular recommendation.

This material is disseminated in Australia to “retail clients” within the meaning of the Australian Corporations Act by Morgan Stanley Wealth Management Australia Pty Ltd (A.B.N. 19 009 145 555, holder of Australian financial services license No. 240813). Morgan Stanley Wealth Management is not incorporated under the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) law and the material in relation to this report is conducted outside the PRC. This report will be distributed only upon request of a specific recipient. This report does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any securities in the PRC. PRC investors must have the relevant qualifications to invest in such securities and must be responsible for obtaining all relevant approvals, licenses, verifications and or registrations from PRC’s relevant governmental authorities.

If your financial adviser is based in Australia, Switzerland or the United Kingdom, then please be aware that this report is being distributed by the Morgan Stanley entity where your financial adviser is located, as follows: Australia: Morgan Stanley Wealth Management Australia Pty Ltd (ABN 19 009 145 555, AFSL No. 240813); Switzerland: Morgan Stanley (Switzerland) AG regulated by the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority; or United Kingdom: Morgan Stanley Private Wealth Management Ltd, authorized and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority, approves for the purposes of section 21 of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 this material for distribution in the United Kingdom.

Morgan Stanley Wealth Management is not acting as a municipal advisor to any municipal entity or obligated person within the meaning of Section 15B of the Securities Exchange Act (the “Municipal Advisor Rule”) and the opinions or views contained herein are not intended to be, and do not constitute, advice within the meaning of the Municipal Advisor Rule.

This material is disseminated in the United States of America by Morgan Stanley Wealth Management.

Third-party data providers make no warranties or representations of any kind relating to the accuracy, completeness, or timeliness of the data they provide and shall not have liability for any damages of any kind relating to such data.

This material, or any portion thereof, may not be reprinted, sold or redistributed without the written consent of Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC.

© 2026 Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC. Member SIPC.

RS11780085226302 05/2026